Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/509,218	HILLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BENJAMIN J. GILLESPIE	1796
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) BENJAMIN J. GILLESPIE.	(3)	
(2) Robert Diaz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.		
Type: a ☑ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2 ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>M/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f]☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment, thereby overcoming an issue of indefiniteness and putting the oblimed invention in condition for allowance.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SECTION TASK, HIS TRAYS HE AS THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAINING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAINING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAINING DATE OF THIS THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.		
/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796		

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)